UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEVADA

VICTOR TAGLE,	
Petitioner,	2:13-cv-01832-JCM-CWH
VS.	MINUTES OF THE COURT
D.W. NEVEN, et al.,	November 17, 2016
Respondents/	
PRESENT: THE HONORABLE JAMES C. MAHA	
DEPUTY CLERK: <u>JAMES R. BARKLE</u>	EY REPORTER: NONE APPEARING
COUNSEL FOR PETITIONER: NONE	APPEARING
COUNSEL FOR RESPONDENTS: NO	NE APPEARING
MINUTE ORDER IN CHAMBERS: XX	<u>XX</u>
in this case and six others – Case Nos. 2:15-3:13-cv-00264-MMD-VPC; 3:13-cv-00318-2:15-cv-2146-GMN-PAL. ECF No. 58. As cases, petitioner's motion was premised on electronic docket. <i>See Tagle v. Dep't of Ho</i>	a motion styled as a "Motion for Case Information" -cv-00216-JCM-PAL; 2:16-cv-00045-RCJ-VCF; -HDM-WGC; 2:16-cv-00082-APG-GWF; s explained by Judge Gordon in one of the foregoing his apparent misinterpretation of this court's <i>meland Security</i> , Case No. 2:16-cv-00082-APG-Gordon's explanation has clarified the matter for
IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED to moot.	that petitioner's motion (ECF No. 58) is DENIED as
	LANCE S. WILSON, CLERK
	By: /s/ James R. Barkley Deputy Clerk